

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/798,166	03/11/2004	Francis Dominique	7-3-3-2	5105
7590 10/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Lucent Technologies Inc.			EHNE, CHARLES	
Docket Administrator Room 3J-219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
101 Crawfords Corner Road			2113	
Holmdel, NJ (	07733-3030		DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/798,166	DOMINIQUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles Ehne	2113			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 h	March 2004.				
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ats have been received.  ats have been received in Apportity documents have been re  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/798,166

Art Unit: 2113

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Hamabe (6,963,753).

As to claim 1, Hamabe discloses a method comprising the steps of:

receiving a pilot signal (column 9, lines 9-11);

framing the pilot signal into sequential frames each of a predetermined length from the received pilot signal (column 9, lines 33-36);

comparing at least one frame with a known frame pattern of the pilot signal (column 3, lines 57-62);

developing an error signal from the comparison step, wherein the error signal is used to control transmission of the pilot signal (column 3, lines 57-67).

As to claim 2, Hamabe discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the error signal comprises a step-up signal that is transmitted to increase an E.sub.b/N.sub.0 power level of the pilot signal when the comparison step indicates that the at least one frame is different than the known pilot frame pattern, and a step-down signal that is transmitted to decrease the E.sub.b/N.sub.0 power level of the pilot signal when the comparison

step indicates that the at least one frame is the same as the known pilot frame pattern (column 3, lines 57-67).

As to claim 3, Hamabe discloses the method of claim 2 wherein the step up and step down signals are transmitted to increase and decrease the E.sub.b/N.sub.0 power level of the pilot signal so as maintain a predetermined frame error rate on the sequential frames of the received pilot signal (column 7, lines 4-7).

As to claim 4, Hamabe discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the predetermined length of the frames of the framed pilot signal is chosen so that the predetermined frame error rate on the sequential frames of the received pilot signal is associated with a constant predetermined frame error rate of a received fundamental channel regardless of the installation scenario between a location from which the pilot signal is being transmitted and a location at which the pilot signal is being received (column 9, lines 44-53).

As to claim 5, Hamabe discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the error signal indicates a degree of mismatch between the framed pilot signal and the known pilot pattern and represents a measure of an uplink signal quality (columns 1-2, lines 67-1).

As to claim 6, Hamabe discloses the method of claim 5 wherein the magnitude of the error signal is used to determine whether communication should continue or should be discontinued (column 11, lines 38-43).

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/798,166 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Ehne whose telephone number is (571)-272-2471. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Row Willer EMAINER